Role of Flagella in Adhesion of *Escherichia coli* to Abiotic Surfaces
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**ABSTRACT:** Understanding the interfacial activity of bacteria is of critical importance due to the huge economic and public health implications associated with surface fouling and biofilm formation. The complexity of the process and difficulties of predicting microbial adhesion to novel materials demand study of the properties of specific bacterial surface features and their potential contribution to surface attachment. Here, we examine flagella, cell appendages primarily studied for their cell motility function, to elucidate their potential role in the surface adhesion of *Escherichia coli*—a model organism and potential pathogen. We use self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of thiol-bearing molecules on gold films to generate surfaces of varying hydrophobicity, and measure adhesion of purified flagella using quartz crystal microbalance. We show that flagella adhere more extensively and bind more tightly to hydrophobic SAMs than to hydrophilic ones, and we propose a two-step vs a single-step adhesion mechanism that accounts for the observed dissipation and frequency changes for the two types of surfaces, respectively. Subsequently, study of the adhesion of wild-type and flagella knockout cells confirms that flagella improve adhesion to hydrophobic substrates, whereas cells lacking flagella do not show preferred affinity to hydrophobic substrates. Together, these properties bring about an interesting ability of cells with flagella to stabilize emulsions of aqueous culture and dodecane, not observed for cells lacking flagella. This work contributes to our overall understanding of nonspecific bacterial adhesion and confirms that flagella, beyond motility, may play an important role in surface adhesion.

**INTRODUCTION**

Bacterial surface adhesion is a ubiquitous phenomenon in the natural world, and as the first step in biofilm formation, it enables microbes to survive changing environments, chemical and physical assaults, and depletion of resources.$^{1,2}$ Because of the survival advantages imparted by the biofilm lifestyle, pristine, unoccupied surfaces provide high-value real estate to bacteria, particularly when conditions favor a survival mode, rather than a proliferative one.$^3$ Unfortunately, numerous materials applications require the maintenance of pristine, unoccupied surfaces provide high-value real estate to bacteria during use and are ultimately fouled by adhering microbes. Once these microbes progress to mature biofilms, they become difficult or impossible to remove.$^7$ The prevention of bacterial adhesion to surfaces has thus been an important, interdisciplinary field of research. Different strategies have evolved, focusing on surface chemistry, topographic patterns, or cytotoxicity.$^8,9$ These have met with varying levels of success but to this point, the challenge remains.

The design of superhydrophobic surfaces appears to be a promising starting point to nonspecifically prevent bacteria from adhering. By combining hydrophobic surface chemistry with micro- or nanoscale topography, such surfaces become extremely repellent since water is prevented from coming into contact with the complete topography and resides on a metastable composite air/solid interface atop the structures.$^{10–13}$ As a result, the contact area between liquid and surface is minimized, leading to ease of removal of the droplet. Unfortunately, we have found that the combination of hydrophobicity and structure does not work as expected in repelling water-borne bacteria.$^{14}$ On the contrary, bacteria can adsorb, cover the surface, and degrade the metastable air/solid interfaces at the top of the microstructures, leading to the loss of superhydrophobicity and an increase in available surface area. Consequently, such surfaces actually increase bacterial adhesion compared to flat ones.

Since bacterial adhesion is a complex process that depends on the specific environments, materials, and species involved, potential rearrangements and changes of observed effects in the course of the adhesion process as well as temporal variations in cell surface composition, it is difficult to generalize performance of antifouling materials.$^{15–19}$ Therefore, a detailed under-
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standing of the mechanisms of adhesion, cell surface features, and physical properties is of paramount importance in order to advance our ability to design antiadhesive materials and predict performance.

In the process of adhesion, bacteria can rely on either specific adhesive organelles or proteins (e.g., pili, lipopolysaccharide, nonfimbrial adhesion-1), or nonspecific interactions with surfaces such as van der Waals, electrostatic, and hydrophobic interactions.33,34 Escherichia coli has been well studied as a laboratory model organism in general, and in adhesion and biofilm formation in particular. It has been shown that specific flagellar isoforms can enable attachment of certain E. coli strains to mammalian antigens. For example the flagellar filament of E. coli Nissle 1917 adheres to porcine mucin 2,23 and a secreted protein, EtpA, can mediate adhesion between the tips of enterotoxigenic E. coli flagellar filaments and host cell surface receptors.24

Characterizations of other specific adhesive interactions have exposed new potential targets for antiadhesive strategies. For example, some studies of E. coli adhesion as it relates to virulence have focused on type I pili,20–22 which can bind to mannose on eukaryotic cell surfaces. Pilus-mediated adhesion has been specifically targeted by the development of pilicides, which block pili biogenesis.28 E. coli can also secrete extracellular polymeric substances, such as curli (an amyloid fiber) and colanic acid or cellulose (poly saccharides). These substances can act as virulence factors by enabling cells to improve adhesion to tissues and assemble biofilms.29–32 Similar in strategy to pilicides, curlicides have also been developed to inhibit curli biogenesis.33 Such strategies target virulence rather than microbial death, which results in reduced selection pressure for resistance relative to antibiotics (a major and growing concern in public health). While promising, pilicides and curlicides target specific cell−host interactions but do not address nonspecific bacterial adhesion to inert biomaterials, which can act as portals and reservoirs for infection.

Some surface organelles and molecules have been examined for their nonspecific adhesive properties. For example, a study of type I fimbriae indicated that these organelles strengthened adhesion to hydrophilic surfaces, but reduced adhesion to hydrophobic surfaces.34 Another study focusing on lipopolysaccharide (LPS) showed that the length and charge of LPS molecules on the surface of E. coli K12 strains did not directly correlate with adhesion to quartz particles.35 We recently showed that bacterial flagella can promote adhesion to structured abiotic surfaces by (i) providing vibrational energy near the topographic features that induces surface wetting in superfornphobic coatings and (ii) mending the substrate with a flagella "carpet", enabling bacteria to overcome the geometric constraints imposed by the size and shape of the cell body relative to surface features.36 While it has been proposed that flagella generally promotes adhesion to surfaces and shown that E. coli flagella genes are important throughout all stages of biofilm development, including the early attachment,20,36–38 a detailed quantitative study of flagella interaction with abiotic surfaces has not been conducted.

In the present study, we aim to shed light on the role of flagella for E. coli adhesion via nonspecific interactions by characterizing attachment of isolated flagella to surfaces with different hydrophobicities. We show that purified flagella adhere to hydrophobic surfaces, and that cells possessing flagella demonstrate increased adhesion to hydrophobic surfaces compared to their nonflagellated counterparts. We also quantify adhesion of whole cells to hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces, comparing strains with and without surface appendages. We show that flagella increase cell surface activity at oil/water interfaces. Surprisingly, we also see that flagella adhere poorly to hydrophobic surfaces and reduce adhesion of the cell to these interfaces, contrasting with the widely held view that flagella’s role in the surface attachment is always pro-adhesive.20,36–38 This work provides further understanding and clarification of the important role that flagella may play in surface adhesion, beyond their role in cell motility.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Strains and Culture Conditions.** All strains used in this study are indicated with their sources in Table 1. For all adhesion assays, overnight cultures of E. coli were grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) broth shaking at 220 rpm at 37 °C. Overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 in M63 salts plus 0.5% (w/v) casamino acids and 0.2% (w/v) glucose (M63°). When needed, kanamycin was used at 75 μg/mL. Gold-coated glass coupons with thiol SAMs were placed at the bottoms of 4-well rectangular plates, so that each well contained one hydrophobic and one hydrophilic coupon in a randomized position. The overnight cultures diluted in M63° were used to cover these coupons, and the plates were incubated at 37 °C under static conditions for the times indicated in each experiment. At the end points, coupons were rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) so as to remove loosely adherent cells. To avoid dewetting of the surfaces, PBS was flooded into the wells and allowed to overstand and clarify any remaining liquid at all times and reliably avoids dewetting of hydrophobic samples. Fixative was incubated for 15 min and then rinsed with 20 volumes of PBS with a peristaltic pump at 100 mL/min/well, again avoiding dewetting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>strain</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZK2686</td>
<td>W3110, Δ(argF-lac) U169</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JWS656-1</td>
<td>BW25113, F−, Δ(waaD-arab)Δ677, ΔlacZΔ787::rrnB-3</td>
<td>CGSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JWS4277-1</td>
<td>BW25113, F−, Δ(waaD-arab)Δ677, ΔlacZΔ787::rrnB-3</td>
<td>CGSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JW1025-1</td>
<td>BW25113, F−, Δ(waaD-arab)Δ677, ΔlacZΔ787::rrnB-3</td>
<td>CGSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JW1881-1</td>
<td>BW25113, F−, Δ(waaD-arab)Δ677, ΔlacZΔ787::rrnB-3</td>
<td>CGSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JW1908-1</td>
<td>BW25113, F−, Δ(waaD-arab)Δ677, ΔlacZΔ787::rrnB-3</td>
<td>CGSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JW1878-4</td>
<td>BW25113, F−, Δ(waaD-arab)Δ677, ΔlacZΔ787::rrnB-3</td>
<td>CGSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSF31</td>
<td>ZK2686, ΔbcaA787::kan</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSF30</td>
<td>ZK2686, ΔfimA782::kan</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSF28</td>
<td>ZK2686, ΔcsgA784::kan</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSF29</td>
<td>ZK2686, ΔflhD745::kan</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSF43</td>
<td>ZK2686, ΔcsgA784::kan</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSF41</td>
<td>ZK2686, ΔflhD745::kan</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CGSC, coli Genetic Stock Center (Yale); kan, kanamycin resistance gene; cam, chloramphenicol resistance gene.*

6138
DOI: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.3b00815
Langmuir 2015, 31, 6137–6144
Growth Curves. To ensure the various strains of *E. coli* grew at comparable rates under the same conditions, we measured growth curves for each. Stationary phase cultures (from overnight growth) were inoculated at an effective optical density measured at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.05 in 150 μL of medium of interest per well of a honeycomb well plate (Growth Curves USA). Plates were incubated in a Bioscreen C (Growth Curves USA) plate reader and set to shake continuously at high amplitude at 37 °C for 18 h. OD₆₀₀ readings were taken every 10 min.

**Purification of Flagella.** Methods for isolating flagella were adapted from Crawford et al., 2010 and Erdem et al., 2007. Cells were grown to exponential phase in 2.4 L of M63+ medium (OD₆₀₀ ≈ 0.6), at which point cells were harvested and centrifuged at 8000 rcf for 15 min. A small sample of cells was also examined under phase contrast microscope to check for motility. Supernatant was discarded and cells were resuspended in 250 mL of PBS. The centrifugation step was repeated and cells were then resuspended in approximately 50 mL of PBS. This suspension was blended for 60 s in a Waring commercial blender to shear off flagella. Cells were checked for loss of motility under a microscope. Cellflagella suspension was then centrifuged at 9000 rcf for 20 min and the supernatant was removed and spun at 12000 rcf for 30 min. Supernatant was removed and ammonium sulfate was slowly added to 45% saturation. This solution was incubated at 4 °C overnight. The next day, the solution was centrifuged at 12000 rcf for 1 h. The pellet was resuspended in a small volume (~2–6 mL) of PBS while rocking at 4 °C for 8 h. This solution was dialyzed against PBS using several buffer changes over 4 days. Concentration was measured using Coomassie Brilliant Blue G, and standard curves were obtained from BSA. If a sample needed additional concentration, we used a Macrosep centrifugal device ( Pall Corp.) with a 10 kDa molecular weight cutoff, following manufacturer’s instructions. Purity was verified using SDS-PAGE with SimplyBlue Safe stain (Life Technologies). The morphology of purified flagellar filaments was examined using transmission electron microscopy.

**Gold–Thiol SAMs.** We prepared stock solutions of 11-mercapto-1-undecanol and dodecaneethiol with a concentration of 100 mM/L in ethanol. For the individual samples, we used these stock solutions to mix the two thiols in various molar ratios with a total concentration of 2 mM/L in ethanol. We coated microscope slides with 2 nm titanium and 30 nm of gold using a Cressington 308 evaporator (Ted Pella, USA). The titanium served as an adhesion promoter to prevent delamination of the gold film. For bacterial adhesion experiments, we cut the slides into 1 × 1 cm² coupons and immersed them for 18 h in the thiol solutions. For the quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation (QCM-D) experiments, we used commercially available gold-coated QCM-D crystals (Biolin Scientific, Inc.). To remove excess thiols, we rinsed the samples twice in ethanol and dried them under a stream of nitrogen immediately before using them in bacterial adhesion experiments.

**Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).** Samples of purified flagella were loaded onto a carbon-coated TEM grid. Grids were negatively stained with 1% phosphotungstic acid for 10 s and then rinsed twice with deionized water. Grids were allowed to dry prior to TEM imaging. TEM images were acquired using a JEOL 2100 microscope with an operating voltage of 200 kV. High resolution images were taken using a Gatan Orius digital camera.

**Contact Angle Measurements.** Static water contact angles were measured using a goniometer (CAM 101, KSV Instruments) at ambient condition with a 3 μL droplet of water and evaluated with the provided software. All measurements were repeated at least five times on different areas of the substrates and averaged.

**QCM-D.** Gold-coated quartz crystals were obtained from Biolin Scientific, Inc. Prior to functionalization, crystals were cleaned by 10 min UV ozone exposure, followed by a 10 min bath in 5:1 H₂O/H₂O₂ rinsing with deionized water (Milli-Q grade) and then another 10 min UV ozone exposure. Thiol SAMs were then assembled on the surfaces to obtain surfaces with a range of hydrophobicity following the protocol described above. Crystals were rinsed in 100% ethanol and dried under a stream of N₂. Crystals were loaded into flow cells chambers of a QSense E4 QCM-D, and the chambers were flushed with PBS. Purified flagella were diluted in PBS to a concentration of 5 μg/mL. During measurements, the flagella solution was flowed through the chambers at 10 μL/min until a frequency change saturated and stabilized. The chambers were then flushed with PBS.

**Construction of Mutants.** To generate deletion mutants, genes of interest were replaced with kanamycin resistance cassettes, as described in Friedlander et al., 2013. Briefly, mutations were transferred from Keio collection knockouts (background strain BW25113; Table 1) to the ZK2686 background strain via P1vir phage transduction. Transductants were confirmed by polymerase chain reaction using primers internal to the kanamycin gene and upstream of the disrupted gene. For double deletion mutants, second deletions were introduced via another round of P1vir phage transduction as described above, with a different selection marker.

**Quantification of Cell Adhesion.** After cell growth on SAMs for 4 h, cells were rinsed and fixed as described in “Strains and Culture Conditions”. Fixed cells in PBS were imaged on an inverted microscope with a water immersion lens. For each sample, 4–7 images were taken and cells were counted on each image and normalized to the surface area of the images. Each condition was repeated at least 4 separate times.

**Two-Phase Cell Mixtures.** *E. coli* were grown overnight in LB, shaking at 220 rpm at 37 °C. The next day, cells were diluted 1:100 into M63+ and grown for ~15 h shaking at 220 rpm at 37 °C to obtain an OD₆₀₀ of 1.0. Wild-type cells were checked for motility by phase contrast microscopy. A 2 mL sample of this exponential phase cell suspension was placed in a glass culture tube. To this, 400 μL of dodecane was added, and the tubes were vortexed on high speed for 1 min. The tubes were allowed to rest for 10 min and were then photographed to image the extent of phase separation. For microscopic imaging, emulsions were spotted onto glass slides and imaged using a phase contrast microscope. Certain samples of cells were stained with Syto9 live cell stain prior to emulsifying. These emulsions were imaged using fluorescence microscopy.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Bacterial flagella are complex organelles that improve cell motility, enable chemotaxis, contribute to biofilm structure, and aid in mucin adhesion for a number of species. Flagella are the longest extensions from the cell surface and as such, they mediate many important interactions, both advantageous for the bacterium (e.g., epithelial cell adhesion) and deleterious (e.g., stimulation of innate immunity of higher organisms through a Toll-like receptor-S). By characterizing and quantifying the ability of flagella to adhere to abiotic surfaces and relating this to overall cell adhesive ability, we sought to better understand the diverse functionality of flagella, particularly by elucidating their functions beyond motility.

To isolate the effects of flagellar adhesion from other adhesive phenomena related to the cell body or other surface appendages, we purified flagellar filaments from *E. coli* ZK2686 (Figure 1A,B) and used them for quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation (QCM-D) measurements. QCM-D uses changes in resonance frequency of a quartz crystal and is extremely sensitive to changes in adsorbed mass (~ng/cm²), allowing us to precisely determine extent of flagella binding to the crystal surface. To control surface hydrophobicity, we used gold-coated crystals and generated self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) with thiol-bearing molecules. We chose 11-mercapto-1-undecanol as a hydrophilic, uncharged functionality (water contact angle 28°) and dodecanethiol as a hydrophobic functionality (water contact angle 112°) and mixed them in different ratios to achieve intermediate hydrophilicities (Figure
Quartz crystals with SAMs representing the full range of available hydrophobicities were chosen for flagellar adhesion experiments. Crystals were placed in flow chambers and solutions of 5 μg/mL of purified flagella in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) were flowed over the crystals at 10 μL/min. Frequency changes were monitored during flow until these values saturated (Figure 1F). After several experiments for each condition, the final change in frequency, Δf, was averaged and plotted against the water contact angle of the SAM-modified surface as a measure of its hydrophobicity. We observed a general increase in adsorbed mass as hydrophobicity of the surfaces increased (Figure 1G). The mean frequency shift saturated at approximately ~40 Hz, which was achieved for surfaces with contact angles of 80° and greater (SAMs assembled from a solution of 0.4 or greater mole fraction of dodecanethiol blended with 11-mercapto-1-undecanol). The most hydrophilic surfaces allowed only very low flagella adhesion.

The viscoelasticity of the adsorbed material in our QCM measurements causes damping of the crystal vibrations, which is measured with QCM-D. In general, a softer or less tightly bound material will show stronger dissipation compared to a stiff film.54 In the case of our filamentous flagella, more tightly bound filaments should result in less dissipation than loosely bound ones, which would increase drag and energy dissipation. Examining dissipation versus frequency (Figure 2A), we observe that the relationship is nearly linear for hydrophilic surfaces, but for hydrophobic surfaces, the dissipation-frequency slope decreases in magnitude at higher frequency changes. This indicates that the flagella become more tightly bound to hydrophobic interfaces. Normalizing for the total adsorbed mass (measured as Δf), we can also examine dissipation caused by bound flagella over the course of an experiment (Figure 2B). These plots indicate that on hydrophilic surfaces energy dissipation is highest at the onset of adhesion, and decreases exponentially. The more hydrophobic surfaces have distinct maxima of dissipation, followed by a decrease to a steady state. This corresponds to a more complex adhesive process with a changing dissipation profile.

We used the time-derivative of the frequency change to examine kinetics of adsorption throughout the QCM experiments (Figure 2C). We observe a monotonic decay of adsorption rate of flagella to hydrophilic surfaces over time, indicating surface saturation. For more hydrophobic crystals there is a complex behavior consisting of an increase to a plateau value, followed by a peak and decay of adsorption rate. This indicates a two-step adhesion profile with potential surface rearrangements, as observed previously.18,19 The maximal rate of adsorption tended to increase with hydrophobicity, but the overall maximum rate observed was to surfaces with contact angles of ~80°, which decreased with higher contact angles. The peaks in adhesion rates observed for more hydrophobic surfaces can be interpreted in the context of frequency and dissipation data. As normalized dissipation begins to drop, there is a brief and coincident increase in rate of frequency change to a peak value (see dotted lines in Figure 2), which may indicate that the surface configuration of flagella adhering to hydrophobic surfaces is changing. Overall energy dissipation does not generally decrease—only normalized dissipation decreases, indicating a decrease in average energy dissipation per attached flagellum.

Mechanistically, we interpret the difference between attachment to hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces in terms of a
single versus multistep adhesion process, respectively. On hydrophilic surfaces, flagella adhere and are loosely bound to the surface, and the tethered filaments continue to move in Brownian motion and obstruct additional attachment after adsorption of an initial layer, comparable to a steric repulsion process observed on polymers tethered to the surfaces of colloids. This type of adsorption process would translate into a monotonic decay in adsorption rate and a linear relation between dissipation and frequency change as we observe in the QCM-D experiments. On hydrophobic surfaces, however, we speculate that flagella are first attaching loosely, as in the case of hydrophilic surfaces. Over time, they seem to change their conformation and may “zip” onto the surface, becoming more tightly bound, most likely by increasing van-der-Waals attractions between flagella proteins and surface upon progressive removal of water. This tighter binding would translate into a drop in normalized dissipation, as we observe in QCM-D. As the flagella “zip” onto the surface, the volume above the surface they shield by Brownian motion is decreased, allowing additional flagella to gain access and adsorb to the surface. Consistent with this interpretation, the decrease in dissipation we observe in QCM-D is followed by an increase in adhesion rate. We and others have previously observed flagellar entanglement in biofilms as well as highly flagellated strains at the substrate interface, which is in agreement with such an interaction.

Regardless of the exact mechanism of the flagella–surface interaction, we have shown that flagella can adhere directly to surfaces of a hydrophobic nature and do not adhere as readily to hydrophilic surfaces. We emphasize that the behavior discussed here refers to mixed monolayers of noncharged species and should not be generalized to different types of surface chemistries that may include charged moieties or complex chemical functionalities, which may influence or alter the adhesion process. Nevertheless, our results suggest a possible dual (pro- or anti-adhesive) role that flagella may play in surface attachment.

To verify this hypothesis and isolate the role of flagella in whole cell adhesion, we compared surface attachment of wild-type cells with that of mutants lacking flagellar filaments (Δ\(\text{flc}\)), lacking type I pili (Δ\(\text{ fimH}\)), and lacking both flagella and pili (Δ\(\text{flc}\Delta\text{fimH}\)). All strains were derived from \(E.\ coli\) ZK2686 (Table 1), and growth curves were shown to be comparable (Figure 3A). To simplify, we constrained our experiments to the assessment of cell adhesion on purely hydrophilic and hydrophobic substrates, prepared by SAMs of dodecanethiol (hydrophobic) and 11-mercapto-1-undecanol (hydrophilic) on gold-coated microscope slides. Substrates were submerged in M63 medium and sample wells were each inoculated with an \(E.\ coli\) strain. After 4 h, samples were washed with PBS using a peristaltic pump to control shear, while avoiding sample dewetting, as passage of an air–liquid interface can result in shear forces 100–1000 times greater than fluid flow alone, which would distort the measured quantity of attached cells. Samples were then fixed and imaged with a light microscope for cell counts (Figure 3B–D).

In agreement with our previous study, wild-type cell counts were highest on hydrophobic surfaces, and nearly 3-fold higher than cell counts on hydrophilic surfaces. Interestingly, loss of type I fimbriae did not negatively affect the ability of cells to adhere to hydrophobic surfaces, and possibly improved adhesion to hydrophilic surfaces. Loss of flagella strongly reduced the capacity of wild-type \(E.\ coli\) to adhere to hydrophobic surfaces, but significantly improved cell adhesion to hydrophilic surfaces, indicating some inhibitory effect of flagella in cell attachment to hydrophilic surfaces. For Δ\(\text{flc}\) cells, adhesion to hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces was nearly equal. Surprisingly, Δ\(\text{fimH}\)Δ\(\text{flc}\) cells were the most adherent strain for hydrophilic substrates. They also demonstrated similar levels of adhesion to hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces. We interpret these results to mean that the cell surface properties enable adhesion to hydrophilic surfaces, but the presence of flagella (which do not adhere well to hydrophilic surfaces) hinder this interaction, possibly due to their large size, movement, and/or peritrichous arrangement. Nonetheless, wild-type cells adhere to hydrophobic surfaces.
better than ΔfliC mutants, demonstrating a quantifiable advantage for possessing flagella in scenarios where hydrophobic surfaces are present.

Our finding that flagella displayed increased adhesion to hydrophobic surfaces and cells lacking flagella or fimbrae improved adhesion to hydrophilic surfaces raises an interesting possibility that the presence of flagella should impart an overall amphiphilicity to the cells. To test this hypothesis, we adapted a traditional method called “microbial adhesion to hydrocarbons”\(^5\)\(^9\). We mixed exponential phase cultures with dodecane to create a two-phase system. We emulsified the two phases by vortexing and investigated the stability of the emulsion over time (Figure 4). Indeed, we observed a stable emulsion over 2 h, created in the presence of wild-type cells. Cells lacking flagella (ΔfliC) did not create stable emulsions, and complete phase separation was observed within 5–10 min. We further examined the wild-type emulsions using optical microscopy (Figure 4C,D). Wild-type cells mixed with dodecane were enriched around the perimeters of large droplets, and formed clusters of cells that surrounded and stabilized smaller droplets. This result indicates that the flagella become embedded in the dodecane phase, and the more hydrophilic cell bodies remain associated with the aqueous phase, creating a living analogue of a surfactant.

On the basis of our QCM-D findings as well as our results that cells lacking flagella adhere better to hydrophilic surfaces...
than wild-type cells, we can think of these cells as having hydrophobic flagellar filaments that interact with the hydrocarbon phase, and hydrophilic cell bodies, that preferentially interact with the aqueous phase. Essentially, the entire cell can act as a surfactant when it expresses flagella. In general, this property may improve surface activity of the cells, which is favorable for attachment and biofilm formation.

■ CONCLUSION

This work allows us to better correlate live-cell adhesion experiments with cell surface properties and emphasizes the importance of characterizing and correlating the properties of both materials and cells during adhesion studies. The finding that flagella decrease adhesion to hydrophilic model surfaces belies the concept that flagella generally improve adhesion. The interplay between surface properties and bacterial physiology is complex and often unpredictable. Native environments provide variation in surface chemistry, topography, shear forces, pressures, osmolarity, ionic strength, and nutrients. These properties are all likely to affect adhesion, and thus there is no adequate substitute for testing materials in their intended environments. Nonetheless, a deeper understanding of the material properties that relate to adhesion can be reached by abstracting material properties into relevant experimental systems, such as the hydrophobic–hydrophilic spectrum described here.

As large, extracellular appendages, flagella require significant cell resources and must therefore provide a substantial selective advantage to the cell, particularly for cells possessing multiple flagella, such as E. coli. We have confirmed that flagella have a complex and significant role in surface adhesion, which stands in addition to their function in cell motility. This may increase the return on investment that cells receive from their flagella. With this in mind, we can think of flagella as multifunctional appendages that increase the cell’s overall Darwinian fitness in multiple ways. Our description of flagella adhesive properties improves understanding of this complex and well-studied organelle and contributes further insight into the lifestyle of E. coli.
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